

SIMULATION OF BEHAVIOR AND INTELLIGENCE

Russian version: <http://www.data laundering.com/download/extrem02-ru.pdf>

Extremal Subsystems of Monotonic Systems. II

J. E. Mulla

Department of Economics, Tallinn Technical University (1973 – 1980)

Translated from *Avtomatica i Telemekhanika*, No. 8, pp. 169 – 178, August, 1976.

Original article submitted April 29, 1976.

© 1977 Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, 10011.

We alert the readers' obligation with respect to copyrighted material.

Correspondence Address: Byvej 269
2650 Hvidovre
Copenhagen Denmark
Phone (45) 36 49 33 05
E-mail: jm@mail-telia.dk

Abstract

A constructive procedure is considered for obtaining singular-determining sequence of elements of monotonic systems studied in [1]. The relationship between two determining sequences $\bar{\alpha}_-$ and $\bar{\alpha}_+$ is also examined, and the obtained result is formulated as a duality theorem. This theorem is used for describing a procedure of restricting the domain of search for extremal subsystems (or kernels of a monotonic system); the corresponding search scheme is also presented.

Keywords: monotonic, system, matrix, graph, cluster

1. Introduction

In [1] we have developed the basic method of selection (from monotonic systems) of singular subsystem, i.e., of kernels possessing extremal properties. The main concept of this method is that of a definable set [2]. In the terminology adopted by us, a definable set is the largest kernel of a monotonic system of interrelated elements. In [1] we introduced the concept of a definable set with the aid of the system under consideration called determining $\bar{\alpha}_-(\bar{\alpha}_+)$ sequences.

In this paper the problem of existing of determining sequences is solved constructively in the form of procedures (algorithms). The principal properties of determining sequences sequence constructed according to the rules of a procedure and that exhausts the entire set of elements of the system W are specified by a theorem.

We shall also examine the relationship between two determining sequences $\bar{\alpha}_-$ and $\bar{\alpha}_+$. It can be assumed that after constructing a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$, we could take this sequence in inverse order, thus obtaining an $\bar{\alpha}_+$ sequence. But in the general case this is not so. Nevertheless we can make a weaker assertion. On the basis of the concepts (defined in [1]) of discrete operations of type \oplus and \ominus on the elements of a system W , this assertion will be formulated below as a duality theorem. Under the conditions of the duality theorem, the algorithms of construction of determining sequences described here will be used for considerably restricting the domain of search for \oplus and \ominus kernels of the system W . The algorithm of restriction of the domain of search is presented in the form of a constructive procedure.

2. Procedure of Finding the Kernels

Below we describe a procedure of construction of an ordered sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ of all the elements of W . In abbreviated form, this procedure is called KFP (kernel-finding procedure).

This procedure consists of rules of generation and scanning of an ordered series of ordered sets $\langle \bar{\beta}_j \rangle$ (sequences); here j varies from zero to a value p , which is automatically determined by the rules of the procedure, whereas the elements of each sequence $\bar{\beta}_j$ are selected from the set W ¹.

This series $\langle \bar{\beta}_j \rangle$ constructed by this rule forms a numerical sequence of thresholds $\langle u_j \rangle$ and a sequence of sets $\langle \Gamma_j \rangle$. On the other hand the sequence of thresholds governs the transitions from $\bar{\beta}_{j-1}$ to $\bar{\beta}_j$ in the chain $\langle \bar{\beta}_j \rangle$, and the sequence $\langle \Gamma_j \rangle$ terminates with a set, which is definable.

In the description of a rule we use the operation of extending a sequence $\bar{\beta}_j$ by adjoining to it another sequence $\bar{\gamma}$. This operation is symbolically expressed by

$$\bar{\beta} \leftarrow \langle \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle.$$

This rule of construction of the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ of all elements of the set W can be recursively described step by step. Each step has two stages.

Zero Step

Stage 1. In the set W we find an element μ_0 such that

$$\pi^-W(\mu_0) = \min_{\delta \in W} \pi^-W(\delta) = F_-(W)^2.$$

We shall write $u_0 = \pi^-W(\mu_0)$, $\bar{\alpha} = \langle \mu_0 \rangle$ and the set $\Gamma_0 = W$.

We select a subset of elements γ from W such that $\pi^-W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\gamma) \leq u_0$ ³.

After that we order the elements in a certain manner (which can be arbitrary selected). The thus-obtained ordered set is denoted by $\bar{\gamma}$. Let us write $\bar{\beta}_0 = \bar{\gamma}$.

¹ Let us recall that in [1] the brackets $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denoted an ordered set; in the case under consideration they denote an ordered set of ordered sets $\bar{\beta}_j$.

² We are constructing a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$. The construction of $\bar{\alpha}_+$ is entirely similar and therefore not presented here. We shall only indicate where it is necessary to invert the sign of inequalities, and where the search for an element with the minimal weight must be replaced by search for an element with maximal weight, so as to be able to construct $\bar{\alpha}_+$. Thus the construction here of $\bar{\alpha}_+$, the element μ_0 is obtained from the condition

$$\pi^+W(\mu_0) = \max_{\delta \in W} \pi^+W(\delta) = F_+(W).$$

³ The construction of $\bar{\alpha}_+$ requires the selection of a γ such that

$$\pi^+W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\gamma) \geq u_0, u_0 = \pi^+W(\mu_0).$$

Stage 2. We construct a recursive procedure for extending the sequences $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}_0$. Here we denote by $\beta_0(i)$ the i -th element of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$.

We specify one after another the elements of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$. At each instant of specification we extend the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ by the elements from $\bar{\beta}_0$ of the sequence fixed at this instant. In accordance with the symbolic notation of the operation of extension of a sequence $\bar{\alpha}$, we perform at each instant t of specification the operation $\bar{\alpha} \leftarrow \langle \bar{\alpha}, \beta_0(t) \rangle$. Suppose that all the elements of $\bar{\beta}_0$ up to $\beta_0(i-1)$ inclusive have been fixed. Then the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ will have the form

$$\langle \mu_0, \beta_0(1), \beta_0(2), \dots, \beta_0(i-1) \rangle,$$

which corresponds to the symbolic notation of the operation of extension of the sequences

$$\bar{\alpha} \leftarrow \langle \bar{\alpha}, \beta_0(1), \beta_0(2), \dots, \beta_0(i-1) \rangle$$

in the case that $\bar{\alpha}$ inside the brackets consists of one element μ_0 .

Let us consider an element $\beta_0(i-1)$ of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$. At the instant of specification of the element $\beta_0(i-1)$ we decide during the above-mentioned operation of extension of $\bar{\alpha}$ also about any further extension or about stopping the extension of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$.

We must check the following two conditions:

- a) In the set $W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$ there exist elements such that $\pi^- W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\gamma) \leq u_0$ ⁴;
- b) the element $\beta_0(i)$ is defined for the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$ ⁵.

There can be four cases of fulfillment or nonfulfillment of these conditions. In two cases, when the first condition is satisfied, irrespective of whether or not the second condition holds, the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$ will be extended. This means that the set of elements γ in $W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$ specified by the first condition is ordered in the form of sequence $\bar{\gamma}$. The sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$ is extended in accordance with the formula $\bar{\beta}_0 \leftarrow \langle \bar{\beta}_0, \bar{\gamma} \rangle$.

⁴ In constructing $\bar{\alpha}_+$, this condition is replaced by $\pi^+ W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\gamma) \geq u_0$.

⁵ An element $\beta_0(i)$ is assumed to be defined for a sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$ if the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$ has an element with an ordinal number i . Otherwise the element $\beta_0(i)$ is not defined.

In case when the first condition is not satisfied, whereas the second condition is satisfied, we shall fix the element $\beta_0(i)$ and at the same time extend the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$, i.e., $\bar{\alpha} \leftarrow \langle \bar{\alpha}, \beta_0(i) \rangle$, and then we have a new recursion (Stage II).

In case that neither the first nor the second condition holds, the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$ will not be extended and the last fixed element in the sequence $\bar{\beta}_0$ will be the element $\beta_0(i-1)$.

Recursion Step

Stage 1. Suppose that we have fixed all the elements of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_j$. By that time we have constructed a sequence $\bar{\alpha}$. Let us consider the set $W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$ and the weight system $\Pi^- W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$. We shall find an element in $\Pi^- W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$ on which the minimum is reached in the weight system $\Pi^- W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$. The obtained element is denoted by μ_{j+1} ⁶. Thus, $\pi^- W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\mu_{j+1}) = F_-(W \setminus \bar{\alpha})$.

Let us write $u_{j+1} = \pi^- W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\mu_{j+1})$, and for the set $\Gamma_{j+1} = W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$; then we supplement the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ by the element μ_{j+1} , i.e.,

$$\bar{\alpha} \leftarrow \langle \bar{\alpha}, \mu_{j+1} \rangle.$$

In the same way as during the zero step, we select a subset of elements γ from $W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$ such that

$$\pi^- W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\gamma) \leq u_{j+1} \text{ } ^7.$$

The selected set can be ordered in any manner. The ordered set is denoted by $\bar{\gamma}$. The set $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$ is assumed to be equal to $\bar{\gamma}$.

Stage 2. By analogy with the second stage of the zero step, the second step of the recursion step will be described as a recursion procedure. At this stage we also use the rule of extension of the sequences $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$.

Suppose that we have fixed all elements of $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$ up to $\beta_j(i-1)$ inclusive. Then the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ will have the form $\bar{\alpha} = \langle \bar{\alpha}, \mu_{j+1}, \beta_j(1), \dots, \beta_j(i-1) \rangle$, where $\bar{\alpha}$ denotes the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ obtained at the instant of fixing all the elements of $\bar{\beta}_j$,

⁶ In constructing $\bar{\alpha}_+$ the element μ_{j+1} is obtained from the condition

$$\pi^+ W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\mu_{j+1}) = \max_{\delta \in W \setminus \bar{\alpha}} \pi^+ W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\delta) = F_+(W \setminus \bar{\alpha}).$$

⁷ Here we select for $\bar{\alpha}_+$ a set of elements γ such that $\pi^+ W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\gamma) \geq u_{j+1}$.

or, to rephrase, the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ prior to the $(j+1)$ -st step. The last equation corresponds to the symbolic operation of extension of the sequence $\bar{\alpha} = \langle \bar{\alpha}, \mu_{j+1}, \beta_j(1), \dots, \beta_j(i-1) \rangle$ in the case that $\bar{\alpha}$ inside the brackets denotes the sequence $\langle \bar{\alpha}, \mu_{j+1} \rangle$.

Let us consider an element $\beta_{j+1}(i-1)$ of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$. At the instant of fixing the element $\beta_{j+1}(i-1)$ we decide about a further extension or about stopping the extension of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$. For this purpose we consider the weight system $\Pi^-W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$ and we check two conditions:

- a) The set $W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$ contains elements γ such that $\pi^-W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\gamma) \leq u_{j+1}$ ⁸;
- b) the element $\beta_{j+1}(i)$ is defined for the sequence $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$.

By analogy with the step zero, we find that the sequence $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$ is extended in two cases in which the first condition is satisfied irrespective of whether or not the second condition holds. The set of elements γ in $W \setminus \bar{\alpha}$ specified by the first condition is ordered in the form of a sequence $\bar{\gamma}$. The sequence $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$ is extended in accordance with the formula

$$\bar{\beta}_{j+1} \leftarrow \langle \bar{\beta}_{j+1}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle.$$

In the case that the first condition does not hold, whereas the second condition is satisfied, the element $\beta_{j+1}(i)$ will be fixed and at the same time we extend the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$, i.e.,

$$\bar{\alpha} \leftarrow \langle \bar{\alpha}, \beta_{j+1}(i) \rangle,$$

and after that we proceed again in accordance with the rules of Stage 2 of the recursion procedure of extension of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$.

In the case that neither the first, nor the second condition holds, the sequence $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$ will not be extended, and the last fixed element of the sequence $\bar{\beta}_{j+1}$ will be the element $\beta_{j+1}(i-1)$.

At some step p the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ will exhaust the entire set of elements W .

⁸ For constructing $\bar{\alpha}_+$ we must take elements γ such that $\pi^+W \setminus \bar{\alpha}(\gamma) \geq u_{j+1}$.

Theorem 1. A sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ constructed on the basis of a collection of weights systems $\{II^-H \mid H \subseteq W\}$ is a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$, whereas a sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ constructed on the basis of $\{II^+H \mid H \subseteq W\}$ is a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$.

The first part of the theorem (for $\bar{\alpha}_-$) is proved in Appendix 1. The second part (for $\bar{\alpha}_+$) can be proved in the same way.

Let us note that a sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ constructed by KFP rules has somewhat stronger properties than required in obtaining a determining sequence. More precisely, there does not exist a proper subset L for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ such that

$$\Gamma_j \supset L \supset \Gamma_{j+1}$$

and $F_-(\Gamma_j) < F_-(L)$.

This is not required for obtaining a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$ ($\bar{\alpha}_+$). The corresponding proof is not given here.

Let us note another circumstance. With the aid of the kernel-finding procedure it is possible to effectively find (without scanning) the largest kernel, i.e., a definable set. It is not possible to find an individual kernel strictly included in a definable set (if the latter exists) by constructing a determining sequence.

3. Duality Theorem

Let us establish a relationship between the determining sequences $\bar{\alpha}_-$ and $\bar{\alpha}_+$ of a system W .

Theorem 2. Let $\bar{\alpha}_-$ and $\bar{\alpha}_+$ be determining sequences of the set W with respect to the collection of weights systems $\{II^-H \mid H \subseteq W\}$, $\{II^+H \mid H \subseteq W\}$ respectively. Let $\langle \Gamma_j^- \rangle$ be the subsequence of the sequence $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}_-}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, p$) needed in the determination of $\bar{\alpha}_-$, and let $\langle \Gamma_j^+ \rangle$ be the corresponding subsequence of the sequence $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}_+}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, q$). Hence if for an m and a n we have

$$F_+(I_n^+) = F_-(I_m^-), \quad (1)$$

then $I_m^- \subseteq W \setminus I_{n+1}^+$, $I_n^+ \subseteq W \setminus I_{m+1}^-$. If

$$F_+(I_n^+) < F_-(I_m^-)^9, \quad (2)$$

then $I_m^- \subseteq W \setminus I_n^+$, $I_n^+ \subseteq W \setminus I_m^-$.

This theorem is important from two points of view. Firstly, under the conditions (1) and (2) there exists a relationship between an $\bar{\alpha}_-$ sequence and $\bar{\alpha}_+$. This relationship consists in the fact that elements of $\bar{\alpha}_+$ which are at the “beginning” and form either the set $W \setminus I_{n+1}^+$ or the set $W \setminus I_n^+$ will include all the elements of the set I_m^- that are at the “end” of $\bar{\alpha}_-$. The same applies also to sets $W \setminus I_{m+1}^-$ or $W \setminus I_m^-$ which are at the beginning of $\bar{\alpha}_-$, since they include in a similar way the set I_n^+ . In other words, the theorem states that the sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$ does not differ “very much” (under certain conditions) from the sequence, which is the inverse to $\bar{\alpha}_-$.

Let us note that the conditions (1) and (2) are sufficient conditions, and it can happen that actual monotonic systems satisfying these conditions do not exist. Nevertheless, in the third part of this article, we shall describe actual examples of such systems.

4. Kernel Search Procedure Based on Duality Theorem

We just noted that a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$ differs “slightly” from the inverse sequence of $\bar{\alpha}_-$. For elucidating the possibility of a search for kernels on the basis of the duality theorem, let us rephrase the latter. This assertion can be formulated as follows: at the beginning of the sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$ we often encounter elements of the sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$, which are at the end of the latter.

⁹ In the following, the + and – sign will not be used twice in notation. This rule applies also to Appendices 1 and 2.

Such an interpretation of the duality theorem yields an efficient procedure of dual search for \oplus and \ominus kernels of the system W . This is due to the fact if the elements are often encountered, there exists a higher possibility of finding a \ominus kernel at the beginning of the sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$ as compared to finding it at the end of $\bar{\alpha}_-$; the same applies also to a \oplus kernel in the sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$.

The procedure under construction is based on Corollaries I-IV of the duality theorem presented in Appendix II, where we also prove this theorem.

The procedure of dual search for kernels described below is an application of two constructive procedures, i.e., a KFP for constructing $\bar{\alpha}_+$ and a KFP for constructing $\bar{\alpha}_-$. The procedure is stepwise, with two constructing stages realized at each step, i.e., a stage in which the KFP is used for constructing $\bar{\alpha}_+$ with \oplus operations, and a stage in which the same procedure is used for constructing $\bar{\alpha}_-$ with the aid of \ominus operations on the elements of the system.

Zero Step

Stage 1. At first we store two numbers:

$$u_0^+ = F_+(W) \text{ and } u_0^- = 0.$$

After that we perform precisely Stage 1 and 2 of the zero step of the KFP used for constructing the determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$.

This signifies that the set W contains an element μ_0 such that $\pi^+W(\mu_0) = \max_{\delta \in W} \pi^+W(\delta) = F_+(W)$. The threshold u_0^+ is equal to $\pi^+W(\mu_0)$, etc.

Stage 2. By using the constructions of the zero step of KFP at the previous stage of the dual procedure under construction, we obtained a set $\Gamma_1^+ \subset W$. Then we examine the set $W \setminus \Gamma_1^+$ and the weight system $\Pi^+W \setminus \Gamma_1^+$. On the set $W \setminus \Gamma_1^+$ with the weight system $\Pi^+W \setminus \Gamma_1^+$ we perform a complete kernel-finding procedure for the purpose of constructing a determining sequence of \ominus operations only for the set $W \setminus \Gamma_1^+$. As a result, we obtain in the set $W \setminus \Gamma_1^+$ a subset K^1 on which the function F_- reaches a global maximum among all the subsets of the set $W \setminus \Gamma_1^+$.

Recursion Step

By applying the previous $(j-1)$ steps to the j -th step, we obtained a sequence of sets $\Gamma_0^+, \Gamma_1^+, \dots, \Gamma_j^+$, and according to the of construction of a determining sequence we have $\Gamma_0^+ \supset \Gamma_1^+ \supset \dots \supset \Gamma_j^+$ and $\Gamma_0^+ = W$.

Stage 1. At first we store two numbers:

$$u_j^+ = F_+(\Gamma_j^+) \text{ and } u_j^- = F_-(H^j).$$

By analogy with Stage 1 of the zero step of this procedure, we perform the same construction consisting of two stages of a KFP recursion step for constructing $\bar{\alpha}_+$ with the aid of \oplus operations.

Stage 2. At a given instant of Stage 1 of such dual construction we obtained a set $\Gamma_{j+1}^+ \subset \Gamma_j^+$. Then we consider the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$ and the weight system $\Pi^- W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$. In the same way as at the zero step, we perform on the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$ a complete kernel-finding procedure with the purpose of constructing a sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$ only on the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$. As a result we obtain in the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$ a subset H^{j+1} on which the function F_- reaches a global maximum among all subsets of the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$.

Rule of Termination of Construction Procedure.

Before starting the construction of the j -th step of the procedure under construction, we check the condition

$$u_j^+ \leq u_j^-. \quad (3)$$

If (3) is satisfied as a strict inequality, the construction will terminate before the j -th step. If (3) is an equality, the construction will terminate after the j -th step.

5. Definable Sets of Dual Kernel-Search Procedure

At the end of the construction process, the above procedure yields a set H^j or a set H^{j+1} . It can be asserted that one of the sets is definable set or the largest kernel of the system W with respect to a collection of weights systems $\{\Pi^- H \mid H \subseteq W\}$.

The assertion is based on the following. Firstly, by applying the KFP we obtained the second stage of the j -th step of a dual procedure the maximal set H^{j+1} among all the subsets of the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$ on which the function F_- reaches a global maximum in the

system of sets of all the subsets of the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$. Secondly, by virtue of Corollary 1 of the Theorem 2 (the duality theorem), it follows that, prior to the j -th step and provided that (3) is a strict inequality, the largest kernel (a definable set) will be contained in the set $W \setminus \Gamma_j^+$, or it follows from the Corollary 2 of the Theorem 2, if (3) is a equality, that the largest kernel is included in the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}^+$.

Thus by comparing these two remarks we can see that either H^j or H^{j+1} is a definable set.

By virtue of Corollaries 3 and 4 of the duality theorem, it is possible to find by similar dual procedure also the largest kernel K^\oplus - definable set. This assertion can be proved in the same way as the assertion about H^j and H^{j+1} ; therefore this proof is not given here.

APPENDIX 1

Proof of Theorem 1. We shall prove that a sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ constructed by the KFP rules is a determining sequence for a collection of weight systems

$$\{\Pi^- H \mid H \subseteq W\}.$$

First of all let us recall the definition of a determining sequence of elements of the system W . We shall use the notation $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}} = \langle H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{k-1} \rangle$, where $H_0 = W$, $H_{i+1} = H_i \setminus \alpha_i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$). A sequence of elements of a set W is said to be determining with respect to a coalition of weights systems $\{\Pi^- H \mid H \subseteq W\}$ if the sequence $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ has a subsequence of sets

$$\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}} = \langle \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_p \rangle,$$

such that

- a) The weight $\pi^- H_i(\alpha_i)$ of any element α_i of the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ that belongs to the set Γ_j , but does not belong to the set Γ_{j+1} , is strictly smaller than the weight of an element with minimal weight with respect to the set Γ_{j+1} , i.e.,

$$\pi^- H_i(\alpha_i) < F_-(\Gamma_{j+1}), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 \quad {}^{10};$$

¹⁰ In the definition of $\bar{\alpha}_+$ sequence it is required that the following strict inequality be satisfied:

$$\pi^+ H_i(\alpha_i) > F_+(\Gamma_{j+1}), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$$

b) the set Γ_p does not have a proper subset L such that the strict inequality

$$F_-(\Gamma_p) < F_-(L)$$

is satisfied (the “-” symbol has been omitted; see previous footnote).

We shall consider a sequence of sets $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ and take the subsequence $\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}}$ in the form of the sets Γ_j ($j = 0, 1, \dots, p$) constructed by the KFP rules. We have to prove that sets Γ_j have the required properties of a determining sequence. Assuming the contrary carries out the proof.

Let us assume that Property a) of a determining sequence is not satisfied. This means that for any set Γ_j there exists in the sequence of elements

$$\bar{\beta}_j = \langle \beta_j(1), \beta_j(2), \dots \rangle$$

an element $\beta_j(r)$ such that

$$\pi^- H_{v+r}(\beta_j(r)) \geq F_-(\Gamma_{j+1}) = u_{j+1}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here v is the index number of the element μ_j selected in Stage 1 of the recursion step of the constructive procedure of determination of $\bar{\alpha}$; in the vocabulary of notation used in [1] we have $v = i(\Gamma_j)$.

According to the method of construction, the sequence $\bar{\beta}_j$ consists of sequences γ formed at the second stage of the j -th step of the constructive procedure. Let M be a set in a sequence of sets $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ such that the first element $\alpha_{i(M)}$ of the set M in the constructed sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ is used at the second stage of the j -th step for constructing the sequence γ to which the element $\beta_j(r)$ belongs. This definition of M shows that $H_{v+r} \subseteq M$.

From the construction of the second stage of the j -th step and the principal property of monotonicity of \ominus operations in the system we obtain the inequalities

$$\pi^- H_{v+r}(\beta_j(r)) \leq \pi^- M(\beta_j(r)) \leq \pi^- \Gamma_j(\mu_j) = u_j \quad (\text{A.2})$$

By virtue of the above method of selection of the set Γ_{j+1} from the sequence of sets $\langle \Gamma_j \rangle$ and of the properties of a fixed sequence $\bar{\beta}_j$, we obtain at the j -th step

$$u_j = \pi^- \Gamma_j(\mu_{j+1}) < \pi^- \Gamma_{j+1}(\mu_{j+1}) = u_{j+1}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$.

According to the rule of constructing of the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$, the function F_- reaches its value on the elements μ_j and μ_{j+1} . The elements μ_j and μ_{j+1} belong to the sets Γ_j and Γ_{j+1} respectively; therefor the inequalities (A.1) – (A.3) are contradictory.

Thus our assumption is not true and Property a) of the determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ constructed by KFP rules has been proved.

Let us assume that Property b) does not hold, i.e., the last Γ_p of the sequence $\langle \Gamma_j \rangle$ contains a proper subset L such that

$$F_-(\Gamma_p) < F_-(L). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Let the element $\lambda \in L$, and suppose that it is the element with minimal ordinal number in $\bar{\alpha}$ belonging to L ; moreover, let t denotes this number, i.e., $t = i(L)$, $\alpha_t = \lambda$. From the definition of t it follows that $L \subseteq H_t$.

Our analysis carried out above for the set H_{v+r} we repeat below for the set H_t . By analogy with the definition of the set M we define a set M' with the aid of the element λ and the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$.

The set M' is equated with the set of the sequence of sets $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ that begins with an element used in the formation of a set $\bar{\gamma}$ at the p -th step of the constructive procedure such that $\lambda \in \bar{\gamma}$.

By analogy with derivative of (A.2) we obtain

$$\pi^- H_t(\lambda) \leq \pi^- M'(\lambda) \geq \pi^- \Gamma_p(\mu_p) = u_p. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Since $F_-(L) \leq \pi^- L(\lambda)$, it follows from (A.4) and (A.5) that $\pi^- H_t(\lambda) < \pi^- L(\lambda)$.

We noted above that $L \subseteq H_t$, by virtue of the monotonicity of \ominus operations, it hence follows that

$$\pi^- L(\lambda) \leq \pi^- H_t(\lambda).$$

The last two inequalities are contradictory, and hence Property b) of the determining sequence is satisfied.

Thus we have proved that the sequence $\bar{\alpha}$ constructed by the KFP rules is a determining sequence with respect to a collection of weight systems $\{ \Pi^- H \mid H \subseteq W \}$, and hence it can be denoted by $\bar{\alpha}_-$, whereas the sequence $\langle \Gamma_j \rangle$ obtained by a constructive procedure can be denoted by $\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}_-}^-$.

APPENDIX 2

Proof of Duality Theorem. Below we shall show that $\Gamma_m^- \subseteq W \setminus \Gamma_{n+1}^+$, if $F_+(\Gamma_n^+) = F_-(\Gamma_m^-)$ (below we omit a twice notation of + and – symbols; see Footnote 9).

Let us assume that there exists an element $\xi \in \Gamma_m^-$ and that $\xi \in \Gamma_{n+1}^-$, i.e., $\Gamma_m^- \subseteq W \setminus \Gamma_{n+1}^+$. Hence follows that we have defined a weight $\pi\Gamma_{n+1}^+(\xi)$. According to the definition of the function F_+ we have the inequality

$$\pi\Gamma_{n+1}^+(\xi) \leq F(\Gamma_{n+1}^+).$$

For a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$ and for any $j = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ we have inequalities

$$F(\Gamma_{n+1}^+) < F(\Gamma_n^+). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Let us consider an element $g \in \Gamma_n^+$ with the smallest index number in $\bar{\alpha}_+$. It follows from the definition of $\bar{\alpha}_+$ that

$$\pi\Gamma_n^+(g) > F(\Gamma_{n+1}^+). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The choice of element g is convenient because it permits the use of Property a) of a determining sequence (see Appendix 1), i.e., in this case the set Γ_n^+ is in the form of $H_t = \Gamma_n^+$. Since $F(\Gamma_n^+) \geq \pi\Gamma_n^+(g)$, we have proved (A.6).

Since $\xi \in \Gamma_m^-$, it follows that we have defined a weight $\pi\Gamma_m^-(\xi)$. We have the following chain of inequalities:

$$F(\Gamma_m^-) \leq \pi\Gamma_m^-(\xi) \leq \pi^-W(\xi) = \pi^+W(\xi) \leq \pi\Gamma_n^+(\xi) \quad ^{11}.$$

The first inequality follows from the definition of the function F_- , and the second inequality from the monotonicity of \ominus operations. The equality follows from the definition of the functions π^- and π^+ , whereas the last inequality follows from the monotonicity of \oplus operations.

¹¹ Let us recall that for any element δ of the system W under consideration, we have in [1] the relation $\pi^-W(\delta) = \pi^+W(\delta)$.

By virtue of (A.6) and of the conditions of the theorem, we have also the following chain of inequalities:

$$\pi\Gamma_{n+1}^+(\xi) \leq F(\Gamma_{n+1}^+) < F(\Gamma_n^+) = F(\Gamma_m^-).$$

By supplementing this chain by the previous chain of inequalities, we hence obtain

$$\pi\Gamma_{n+1}^+(\xi) < \pi\Gamma_n^+(\xi).$$

Since $\Gamma_{n+1}^+ \subset \Gamma_n^+$, it follows from the monotonicity of \oplus operations that

$$\pi\Gamma_{n+1}^+(\xi) < \pi\Gamma_{n+1}^+(\xi).$$

The logical step used for obtaining the last inequality is valid, and therefor the assumption that $\Gamma_m^- \subseteq W \setminus \Gamma_{n+1}^+$ is untrue.

In the same way we can prove the inclusion $\Gamma_n^+ \subseteq W \setminus \Gamma_{m+1}^-$. For this purpose it suffices to change the signs of the inequalities and (whenever necessary) to replace the set Γ_{n+1}^+ by Γ_{n+1}^- , and Γ_m^- by Γ_n^+ .

If condition (2) of the theorem holds, it is not necessary to use (A.6). In this case the proof will be similar, being based on the following chain of inequalities:

$$\pi\Gamma_n^+(\xi) \leq F(\Gamma_n^+) < F(\Gamma_m^-) \leq \pi\Gamma_m^-(\xi) \leq \pi^-W(\xi) \leq \pi\Gamma_n^+(\xi) \quad ^{12}.$$

The first inequality follows from the definition of $F(\Gamma_n^+)$, the second follows from Condition (2) of the theorem, and the third from the definition of $F(\Gamma_m^-)$. The last two relations express the properties of monotonic systems. Hence in this case we have under Condition (2) also

$$\pi\Gamma_n^+(\xi) < \pi\Gamma_n^+(\xi) ?$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

¹² The proof is based on assuming the contrary, so that $\Gamma_m^- \not\subset W \setminus \Gamma_n^+$, i.e. there exists, as it were, an element $\xi \in \Gamma_m^-$ and $\xi \in \Gamma_n^+$.

Now follows several corollaries of Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. If for a $n = 0, 1, \dots, q$ of a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$ there exists a subset $H \subseteq W \setminus \Gamma_n^+$ such that $F_-(H) > F(\Gamma_n^+)$ then the kernel K^\ominus will belong to the set $W \setminus \Gamma_n^+$. Indeed, since a definable set is also kernel, it follows that $F_-(H) \leq F(\Gamma_p^-)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$, and hence (in any case) if $m = p$, and n is selected on the basis of the condition of the corollary, then $F(\Gamma_n^+) < F(\Gamma_p^-)$. By virtue of the theorem, we therefor obtain the assertion of the corollary.

Corollary 2. If for $n = 0, 1, \dots, q - 1$ of a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_+$ there exists a subset $H \subseteq W \setminus \Gamma_n^+$ such that $F_-(H) = F(\Gamma_n^+)$, then the kernel K^\ominus will belong to the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{n+1}^+$.

The proof follows directly from Corollary 1, by virtue of (A.6).

Corollary 3. If for an $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$ of a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$ there exists a subset $H \subseteq W \setminus \Gamma_m^-$ such that $F_+(H) < F(\Gamma_m^-)$ then the kernel K^\oplus will belong to the set $W \setminus \Gamma_m^-$.

The proof of Corollary 3 is entirely similar to that of Corollary 1. It is only necessary to change the signs of the inequalities and replace the set Γ_n^+ by Γ_m^- .

Corollary 4. If for $m = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ of a determining sequence $\bar{\alpha}_-$ there exists a subset $H \subseteq W \setminus \Gamma_m^-$ such that $F_+(H) = F(\Gamma_m^-)$, then the kernel K^\oplus will belong to the set $W \setminus \Gamma_{m+1}^-$.

L I T E R A T U R E C I T E D

1. J. E. Mulla, "Extremal subsystems of monotonic systems, 1," *Avtom, Telemekh., No.5*, (1976), pp. 130 –139, <http://www.data laundering.com/download/extrem01.pdf> .
2. J. E. Mulla, "On a maximum principle for certain functions of sets," *in: Notes on Data Processing and Functional Analysis, Proceedings of the Tallinn Polytechnic Institute* (in Russian), Series A, No. 313, Tallinn Polytechnic Institute (1971), pp. 37-44, <http://www.data laundering.com/download/modular.pdf> .